

## **A City Forum Defence Round Table**

# **DEFENCE TRAINING**

## **How will training be delivered to 2020?**

Monday 20 November  
The National Liberal Club  
Whitehall Place, London, SW1A 2HE

Gunnar Heinsohn (University of Bremen)

***DEMOGRAPHY AND WAR***

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>The Father of the Youth Bulge Theory</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>Gaston BOUTHOU</b> (1896-1980)  <i>L'infanticide différé</i>  [Deferred Infanticide]  Paris, <b>1970</b>, 86/82/210</p> <p>-----</p> <p>“Is it possible to define a nation’s percentage of young men required to inspire the masses or the governments to prepare violent excursions? [...] Is there a war index? / Most warfare results from a very high percentage of males in the age bracket 18 to 35. / In the Third World, for example in <b>El Salvador</b> [1970], half of the population is younger than 15. / Those who reject demographic disarmament threaten the others because demographic inflation begets genocide.”  [Translation and page numbers from Bouthoul’s German edition.]</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>YOUTH BULGES AND WAR</b>  <b>Causes of the English Revolution 1642-1651</b></p> <hr/> <p>Jack A. <b>GOLDSTONE</b>  <i>Revolution and Rebellion in the Early Modern World</i>,  Berkeley et al., <b>1991</b>, 120</p> <p>-----</p> <p>There was an “inability of English economic, fiscal, and social institutions to adjust to the sustained population growth of the years 1500-1640 [2.2 to 5.2 million] / Young men desperate for positions, [...] the remarkable surplus of landless younger sons [...], in short, the large number of younger sons among the gentry as a whole, for whom the Crown was unable to provide patronage [...], created a large body of men willing to take sides to establish a claim to their futures, and hence brought an exceptional volatility to these years.”</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>G. HEINSOHN/LONDON/20-11-06</b>  <b>A Shift from Religion to Youth Bulge</b></p> <hr/> <p>Samuel <b>HUNTINGTON</b>  <i>The Clash of Civilisations</i>  New York <b>1996</b>, 116 / 265</p> <p>-----</p> <p>“The resurgence of Islam has been fuelled by [...] spectacular rates of population growth. / First, and most important, the demographic explosion in Muslim societies and the availability of large numbers of often unemployed males between the ages of fifteen and thirty is a natural source of instability and violence both within Islam and against non-Muslims. Whatever other causes [Huntington’s own former theory of religious conflict] may be at work, this factor alone would go a long way to explaining Muslim violence.”</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Adoption of Youth Bulge Theory by US Forces</b></p> <hr/> <p>Patrick <b>HUGHES</b>  Director, <i>Defence Intelligence Agency(DIA)</i>  February, 5, <b>1997</b></p> <p>-----</p> <p>”A global threat and challenge to the United States [...] is ‘youth bulge phenomena’ which, historically, has been a key factor in instability”.</p> <p>-----</p> <p>John <b>GANNON</b>  Chairman, <i>National Intelligence Council</i> June 4, <b>1998</b>  “The youth bulge” provides the ultimate “intelligence challenge for the next generation”.  [Both to: <i>Senate Select Committee On Intelligence.</i>]</p>
---	--	---	--

## WHAT IS A YOUTH BULGE ?

A youth bulge occurs when

**30 to 40 percent of the males of a nation belong to the "fighting age" cohorts from 15 to 29 years of age.**

A youth bulge is preceded by a **children bulge** with 33 to more than 50 percent of all males in the age bracket 0-14.

**2003:** 68 out of the most populous 125 nations of the world (from China to Lithuania) have children bulges waiting to turn into youth bulges. 62 out of these 68 nations are – or have recently been – burdened by mega-killing (cf. G. Heinsohn, *Söhne und Weltmacht* [Sons and World Power], Zurich: Orell&Füssli 2006 [2003<sup>1</sup>], pp. 59-71).

A **youth bulge creates tension because respectable positions cannot be increased at the same speed as food, textbooks and vaccines.** The bottleneck between childhood, in which most basic needs are met, and manhood when two or three out of three or four brothers see their ambitions stalled, leads to a threefold intensification of a young man's common adolescent anger. He

- (a) is demographically superfluous; (b) may be out of work or stuck in a mean job;
- (c) has no access to a legal sex life before a career can earn him enough to provide for a family.

This quadruple stress usually heads for six different exits:

- (1) Emigration (non-violent colonization) with high potential for terror if ambition is not satisfied in new country either.
- (2) Increase of violent crime (met by the authorities with lower requirements for death penalty and/or extralegal execution).
- (3) Rebellion or *putsch* by young men with access to army equipment.
- (4) Civil war and/or revolution in which the young decimate each other as fighters for freedom and defenders of the law.
- (5) Genocide at home to take over the positions of the slaughtered.
- (6) Conquest as violent colonization (frequently accompanied by genocide abroad).

## First Prediction of a Youth Bulge Triggered Civil War: E L S A L V A D O R

In 1970, BOUTHOUl mentioned El Salvador's median age of 15 as a possible trigger for bloodshed. This was **the first – albeit indirect – prediction of a youth bulge turning violent**. At that time, 33 percent of all Salvadorean males were in the age bracket of 15 to 25. The mutual elimination started in 1975. Simultaneously (albeit unknown to Bouthoul), Salvador's per capita income increased steadily. There were not only more angry young men than ever before. They were also better fed and schooled than ever before.

**Peace activists promise that the victory over hunger will also bring victory over war, and triumph for democracy. Youth bulge analysis, however, shows again and again that when hunger ends and jobs remain scarce, the killing starts in earnest. Though hunger is a terrible fate it does not pose a military threat.**

**For bread you beg. For a position you may shoot.**

		<i>EL SALVADOR</i>	<small>G. Heinsohn/London/20-11-06</small>
	Population	Per Capita Income	Total Fertility
1925	1.3 million		
1940	1.6 million		
1960	2.5 million	<b>1.427 \$/capita</b>	<b>6.81 children/woman</b>

**1960-1972:** Increase of per capita income by 27%. In 1965 [6.85 children/woman], 130.000 Salvadorean settlers invade Honduras. A **minor war kills 2.000**. Civil war starts in 1975.

1975	4.1 million	<b>WAR</b>	<b>1.760 \$/capita</b>	<b>6.10 children/woman</b>
------	-------------	------------	------------------------	----------------------------

**1981-1992:** Peak of **battles with 70.000 dead**. Transposed on the UK's population (56 million against Salvador's 4 million in 1975) nearly one million dead would have been killed - 90.000 annually.

1993	5.6 million	<b>PEACE</b>	<b>3.700 \$/capita</b>	<b>3.6 children/woman</b>
2006	6.8 million		<b>4.700 \$/capita</b>	<b>3.1 children/woman</b>

With some 2 million Salvadoreans in USAnada the country would stand close to 9 million. The migrants take pressure out of the kettle back home.

“In Sri Lanka [6 to 20 million inhabitants from 1940 to 2000], **Gary Fuller** [applying Goldstone’s explanation of the 17<sup>th</sup> century English revolution] has shown, the peaking of the Sinhalese nationalist insurgency in 1970 and of the Tamil insurgency in the late 1980s coincided exactly with the years when the fifteen-to-twenty-four-year-old “youth bulge in those groups exceeded 20 percent of the total population of the group” (Huntington 1996, 259).

**Youth Bulge Blind Intelligence Failures: Lebanon 1975 and 2005/2006; Iran 1978 and 2006**

Ignoring - or even ignorant of - **Bouthoul’s 1970 youth bulge theory of conflict**, intelligence agencies failed to brief US and French forces that were sent into Lebanon to end its civil war. Since **1975**, the youth bulge born between 1955 and 1970, when women had five to seven children, thereby demographically turning Lebanon into a Gaza Strip, had set out to decimate each other (150.000 dead and many more exiled up to 1990). Allied intelligence saw no problems for a peacekeeping mission. On October 23, 1983, two truck bombs killed 241 US and 58 French servicemen. The peacekeepers were pulled out by their stunned and surprised governments.

In **1978**, when **Iran’s** Shah was toppled by Islamists, US intelligence still was not tracking the Middle East’s youth bulges. On the contrary, the CIA gave an optimistic outlook for America’s important ally. When, on January 4, 1979, 66 members of the US-Embassy were taken hostage (for 444 days) they apologized for their “crimes against the Iranian people”. Nobody even sensed that Iran was just beginning the consumption of its superfluous males born since 1950 - with millions exiled, more than 500.000 dead in internecine bloodshed, and wars in which teenage boys were burnt as life mine sweepers. The following demographic facts were not presented to the US military.

*Population in million; total fertility in brackets*

	<b>Lebanon</b>		<b>Iran</b>
1955	1.61 (5.6 children/woman) [UK: 50.1 mill; 2.18 child.]		18 (7.0 children/woman) [US: 165 mill; 3.45 children]
1965	2.15 (6.4 children/woman)		24 (7.0 children/woman)
1975	2.80 (4.9 children/woman)		32 (6.4 children/woman)
1985	2.67 (3.8 children/woman)		44 (6.6 children/woman)
1995	3.90 (2.8 children/woman)		66 (4.3 children/woman)
2006	3.90 (1.9 children/woman) [UK: 60.5 mill; 1.66 child.]		70 (1.8 children/woman) [US: 300 mill; 2.09 children]

In **2005**, when Syria was forced out of **Lebanon**, as well as in **2006**, when Iran’s Hezbollah war against Israel was fought in Lebanon, there was surprise that no 2<sup>nd</sup> Lebanese civil war erupted. That Lebanon had no more sons to burn evaded even the finest observers. In **2006**, experts warn that **Iran’s** angry young men will torch the Middle East if Iranian nuclear facilities are bombed without noticing that Iran has passed its youth bulge period.

HEINSOHN/LONDON/20-11-06

## Japan: An Earlier Case of Youth Bulge Assisted Empire Building

- 1873** Creation of private ownership economy (started in England in 1381) **35 million [UK: 27]**
- 1895** Destruction of Chinese fleet; occupation of Korea and Taiwan.
- 1905** Destruction of Russian Fleet **45 million [UK: 39]**
- Up to 1900** Abolishment of Infanticide (enforced in Europe since 1500)
- 1914-1918** Including Turkey and 50.000 US troops, **Europe loses 10 million young men** to World War I whilst Japan does not lose a single soldier. European youth bulges end in 1914, Japan's continue another thirty years.
- 1914-1931** **Japan's youth bulge adds 7 million potential soldiers.**
- 1931** Bombardment of China; creation of colony. **65 million [UK: 45]**
- 1931-1939** Western powers warn and threaten. Yet, aging makes Europe ever more pacifistic. The only long distance weapons available are fleets. They are desperately needed to keep totalitarian Italy and Germany at bay.
- 1941** Bombardment of Pearl Harbour turns European War into World War II. **75 million [UK: 48]**
- 1945** *Little Boy+Fat Man* end imperial ambitions. **72 million [UK: 49]**

Heinsohn/London/20-11-06

## YOUTH BULGES AND EUROPE'S PIOUS CONQUEST OF THE WORLD

Beginning around the year 1500, Europe experienced a population explosion — with total fertility rates up to the beginning of the 20<sup>th</sup> century as experienced today in Gaza or Niger — that not only led to world domination but also to a **tenfold increase of Europe's own population from 40 to 400 million in half the millennium between 1400 and 1900. Muslims managed a tenfold increase from 140 million to 1.5 billion even in just one century since 1900.** Starting around 1350, Europe had been reduced from 80 to 40 million people by devastating outbreaks of bubonic plague. Around 1490, everything changed dramatically. More than twenty explanations have been offered for the Old World's stunning population explosion. It can be shown that Pope Innocent VIII's Witch Bull of 1484 was devoted to the "repopulation" of the Christian World.\* He decreed the death penalty for "many persons of both sexes ... who by accursed charms and crafts, enormities and horrid offenses, slay infants yet in the mother's womb...; they hinder ... women from conceiving". Turning marital procreation into the only unpunished sexual outlet for Catholics and Protestants alike showed instant results. Between 1441 and 1465, one hundred English fathers are survived by only 110 sons, but already by 202 between 1491 and 1505. Whereas in the Middle Ages 2 to 3 children were raised, the average married woman in Western Europe nourished "between 5 and 6.5 children" up to 1914. In Spain the superfluous young men who became conquistadors since the 1490s, were known as *Secundones*, second sons and those who followed. With so many young men seeking a place in the world, Europe — like a never-ending Mongol horde — conquered nine-tenths of the Earth. Right up to the end of World War I, Europe continuously produced large numbers of "fighting-age males" — i.e. between 15 and 29, the prime age for military service. In 1500, among every 1,000 men in that age bracket worldwide, about 100 came from Europe. In 1914, among every 1,000 young men worldwide, 350 were either born in Europe or European in origin. During its imperialist period **Europe was more religious than before or after.** Since the young colonizers could tell right from wrong, the endless exterminations before them were no easy matter. Whether conquistadores or Islamic radicals, young men do not want to come to power as ordinary murderers or suicidal psychopaths. In order to become honorable executioners, they search for a lofty standpoint from which the killing appears to be the justified punishment of sinners and infidels ("slaying in the name of the LORD"). Therefore Europeans became **Christianists** and Muslims, **Islamists**.

\* In English cf. G. Heinsohn and O. Steiger, "Birth Control: The Political-Economic Rationale Behind Jean Bodin's "Démonomanie", in *History of Political Economy*, vol. 31, no.3, 1999, pp. 423-448.



## IRAQ'S BLOODSHED: TOO FEW TROOPS AND TOO MUCH ABANDONMENT OF THE SUNNI?

„The administration’s first, massive mistake was to occupy a country of 25 million people with only 140,000 troops“, Fareed Zakaria is convinced in his examination of *Iraqi Freedom* (“Separating Fact from Fantasy”, *Newsweek*, March 13, 2006, p. 17). Stephen Biddle regards the pushing aside of Iraq’s Saddam-loyal Sunni as the main cause behind the country’s ferocious internecine bloodletting (“Seeing Baghdad, Thinking Saigon”, in *Foreign Affairs*, 03/04-06). These respected analysts did not ever mention Iraq’s uninterrupted chain of youth bulges since 1950. One could occupy a country of 25 million with even less than 140.000 troops if one had to deal with a shrinking and aging nation. Yet, there would hardly be any need to do so.

Iraq: Population (in million), total fertility, and mega-killings since 1961

<b>1955</b>	<b>5.8 million</b>	<b>7.18 children/woman</b>
<b>1960</b>	<b>7 million</b>	<b>7.19 children/woman</b>
<b>1961-65:</b> Killing of some 60.000 Kurds going through own youth bulge. <b>1969:</b> Saddam vicepresident.		
<b>1970</b>	<b>9.5 million</b>	<b>7.18 children/woman</b>
<b>1977:</b> Deportation of 200.000 Shiites to Iran. <b>1978/79:</b> Killing of 7.000 communists. <b>1979:</b> Saddam sole ruler.		
<b>1980</b>	<b>13 million</b>	<b>6.34 children/woman</b>
<b>1980-1988:</b> Loss of 350.000 men in war against Iran. <b>1987-2003: 1<sup>st</sup> civil war</b> (250.000 Kurds and Shiites killed)		
<b>1990</b>	<b>18 million</b>	<b>6.15 children/woman</b>
<b>1991:</b> Conquest of Kuwait. George Bush: “This will not stand!“ <i>Desert Storm</i> (100.000 fallen Iraqis).		
<b>2000</b>	<b>23 million</b>	<b>5.25 children/woman</b>
<b>March-May 2003:</b> <i>Iraqi Freedom</i> (victory over Saddam’s Army). <b>Since May 2003 “occupation”.</b> In reality, superfluous males run <b>2<sup>nd</sup> civil war</b> because they are no longer used in war or organized genocide (150.000 dead of all causes other than old age in war and civil war). What Allies see as a peace mission, the locals perceive as their help for one side to cull the other.		
<b>2006</b>	<b>26 million</b>	<b>4.80 children/woman</b>
<b>2025</b>	<b>45 million (projection). Up to 2020, some 350.000 males annually turn 15 with positions available for just 150.000 of them. 200.000 annually are the pool for fresh fighters against a total of 180.000 Allied troops.</b>	

Heinsohn/London/20-11-06

## WHAT IS WAITING IN SUDAN?

### Are the Genocides Driven by Climate Change Induced Hunger or by Youth Bulges Hitting all Minorities?

Year	Population (million)	Children per woman	Per-capita pur- chasing power
1945	9 [UK: 49 mill.]		
1955	10	6.67	

**1955 to 1972: Ca 600.000 Dinka, Naga and Nuba killed, some 700.000 exiled.**

1965	13	6.67	
1975	16	6.67	450 \$
1985	22	6.15	740 \$

**1983 (Darfur:1987) to 2006: Ca. 500.000 dead of all causes due to genocidal activities (including “liberation wars”) driven by youth bulges in all minorities.**

1995	27	5.25	1370 \$
2005	41 [UK: 60 mill.] Median age: 18 [UK: 40]	4.72 [UK: 1.7]	2100 \$
2020	56	?	?

Whilst Sudan's population growth is nearly flat in the decade 1945-1955, it quadruples in the half century from 1955 to 2005 in which its genocides occur. Yet, during the five decades of killing Sudan's per capita income has steadily increased. It more than quadrupled between 1975 and 2005. Hunger rather is a result of the chaos inflicted by war than the cause of war. The internecine killing may not abate very soon because less than six million males of fighting age (15-29 years = 28% of all males) in 2005 are followed by nine million boys under 15 (= 43% of all males) who will reach fighting age up to 2020. The UNITED KINGDOM shows a reverse development with 4.5 million boys under 15 (14 % of all males) against 6 million males between 15 and 29 (20% of all males).

G. Heinsohn/London/20-11-06

## The British Youth Bulge of the 19<sup>th</sup> Century Compared to the Youth Bulges of Afghanistan and Iraq from the 20<sup>th</sup> to the 21<sup>st</sup> Century

	Demography of Afghanistan [A] and Iraq [I]		compared to the United Kingdom (UK)		
	Population in million		Total Fertility (Children in a woman's lifetime)		
<b>1800</b>	? (A)	? (I)	UK: 10 Median Age 15 [1820]		
<b>1907</b>	<b>4.2 (A)</b>	<b>2.3 (I)</b>	<b>UK: 40</b>		
<b>1950</b>	8 (A)	5 (I)	UK: 50	7.40 (A)	7.15 (I) (UK:2.15)
<b>1970</b>	13 (A)	10 (I)	UK: 55	7.50 (A)	7.18 (I) (UK:2.55)
<b>1990</b>	15 (A)	18 (I)	UK: 58	7.20 (A)	6.15 (I) (UK:1.81)
<b>2006</b>	31 (A)	27 (I)	UK: 60	6.69 (A)	4.83 (I) (UK:1.66)
	Boys under 15: 6.85 Mil. (A) 5.3 Mill. (I)		5.5 m(UK)		
	Median Age: 18 (A) 19 (I)		39 (UK)		
<b>2020</b>	56 (A)	37 (I)	UK: 63	6 (A)	3.5 (I) (UK:1.60)
<b>2050</b>	82 (A)	56 (I)	UK: 64		

Whereas the UK's population equals the combined populations of Iraq and Afghanistan, only 300.000 boys in Great Britain turn 15 every year (14% of all males are under 15). The two Muslim countries with British troops on active duty, however, can annually muster more than 800.000 new potential fighters (355.000 in Iraq [39% of all males under 15]; 455.000 in Afghanistan [40% of all males under 15]). Statistically, British servicemen are only sons or even only children. Afghanistan and Iraq, on the other side, can annually expand their forces by nearly 600.000 second to fourth brothers and still deliver sufficient manpower (200.000 annually) to farms, factories and public service. NATO's 35.000 men in **Afghanistan constitute only 10% of the unemployable 350.000 young male Afghanis reaching fighting age per year** - assuming that the remaining 100.000 turning 15 annually can be supplied with positions. **In Iraq, the West's 180.000 soldiers face some 200.000 young Iraqi males reaching fighting age every year** – assumed that acceptable jobs can annually be provided for the remaining 150.000.

Heinsohn/London/20-11-06

**PAKISTAN'S BOYS**

G. Heinsohn/London/20-11-06

After 55 people were murdered in London on July 7, 2005, the bloodshed was frequently — albeit vaguely — explained by the poverty of the Pakistanis. Moreover, Pakistan's national "birth defect" (FAZ, July 14, 2005, p. 3) — a failure, in 1947, to separate religion and state — was seen as triggering disastrous effects exactly 58 years later. Pakistan's total fertility rate hovering around six children with ensuing and uninterrupted youth bulges since 1945 is rarely mentioned in the accessible analyses. The public is not told that — despite massive emigration — Pakistan's population increased sevenfold in only 75 years. That its sevenfold increase in per

<b>Year</b>	<b>Pakistan's population in millions</b>	<b>Children in a woman's lifetime</b>	<b>Purchasing power parity per capita (\$)</b>
<b>1940</b>	<b>27 (UK: 48 million)</b>		
<b>1950</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6.18</b>	
<b>1960</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>6.28</b>	
<b>1947+1965: Kashmir wars against India; millions of dead</b>			
<b>1970</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>6.28</b>	<b>350 \$</b>
<b>1971: Genocide against "liberation" of Muslim Bengal province [later Bangladesh (hit by its own youth bulge)]; millions of dead</b>			
<b>1980</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>6.28</b>	<b>630 \$</b>
<b>Late 1980s ff.: Mutual killings of Shiites and Sunni often by torching mosques; thousands of dead</b>			
<b>1990</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>6.08</b>	<b>1360 \$</b>
<b>2000</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>5.48</b>	<b>1910 \$</b>
<b>2006</b>	<b>166 (UK: 60 million)</b>	<b>4.14 (Median Age: 19.7)</b>	<b>2400 \$</b>
<b>Since 2001: Mutual killings of army units and Islamist guerillas; rebellions in youth bulge ridden Balochistan; thousands of dead</b>			
<b>2020</b>	<b>213 (UK: 63 million)</b>	<b>?</b>	<b>?</b>

capita income in just 35 years does not substantiate abject poverty or even hunger as the causes of rebellion, should be evident. As with most expatriates, a majority of Pakistani emigrants keep quiet during the first generation out of sheer gratitude for being accepted. But even abroad — e.g. in Canada or in Great Britain — their families have on average twice as many children as the majority. If their sons cannot fulfill their ambitions — in their new countries or back home where the next wave of superfluous sons is virtually blocking re-entry — their radicalization happens fast. Yet nearly everywhere one could hear that London was attacked because of Blair's participation in Bush's Iraq War. This pretext for aggression is still frequently believed to be its true cause. Yet, even an abrupt retreat of British and American forces from Iraq cannot push aside the 32 million Pakistani boys who are still under 15 (39% of all males). They come after the 23 million Pakistani men in the age bracket 15-29 (28% of all males) who are fighting it out today. The next youth bulge's violence will find its own pretexts in due time.

## Demographic Disarmament and the End of Terror: Algeria and Tunisia

Demography of Afghanistan (Af), Algeria (Al), Tunisia (T)					United Kingdom [UK] in brackets			
Population (million)					Children in a woman's lifetime			
<b>1950</b>	8 (Af)	8.5(Al)	3.5 (T)	[UK:50]	7.40 (Af)	7.30 (Al)	6.95 (T)	[UK2.15]
<b>1970</b>	13 (Af)	14 (Al)	5.1 (T)	[UK:55]	7.50 (Af)	7.38 (Al)	7.04 (T)	[UK2.55]
<b>1990</b>	15 (Af)	25 (Al)	8.2 (T)	[UK:58]	7.20 (Af)	5.23 (Al)	4.12 (T)	[UK1.81]
<b>2006</b>	31 (Af)	33 (Al)	10.2 (T)	[UK:60]	6.69 (Af)	1.89 (Al)	1.74 (T)	[UK1.66]
	Boys : under 15 (mill.).	6.8 (Af)	4.8 (Al)	1.3 (T)	[UK: 5.5]			
<b>2020</b>	56 (Af)	38 (Al)	11,5 (T)	[UK:63]	6.0 (Af)	1.8 (Al)	1.7 (T)	[UK1.60]
<b>2050</b>	82 (Af)	44 (Al)	12,5 (T)	[UK:64]				

### Algeria's major killing periods:

**1954-1962:** The war of „liberation“ against France costs the life of 140.000 Algerians. It is accompanied by a first civil war (12.000 dead). The killing is executed by sons born 1930-1940 (**4-5 children per woman**).

**1992-2000:** The war of Islamists against common Muslims kills 160.000 to 180.000. Active are the boys born 1965-1980 (**6-8 children per woman**). European nations help the military junta to annihilate the Islamists. Nobody even thinks about bringing money directly to their „innocent“ women and children whereas Europe actively provides money for the women and children of Palestine's Islamists who can continue their youth bulge for the elimination off Israel.

**2000:** 80% of Algeria's remaining Islamists accept **amnesty** and put down their arms (**3 children per woman**). **2005:** A referendum on Algerian **national reconciliation** gets massive approval (**2 children per woman**). All along, Algerian diplomats accuse Israel of massacres against Palestinians though the death toll of the Intifadas (1987-2006) amounts to less than four percent of Algeria's internecine killings.

**Tunisia's Islamists** born **1950-1970 (7 children per woman)** are more occupied with emigration than terror (centered 1972 to 1995) when total fertility is down to 3. Today, a Tunisian woman in France – induced by generous allowances – has more children than a Tunisian woman in Tunisia.

Heinsohn, London 20-11-06

## ***WHAT ARE THE POLICY BLUNDERS IN THE ISRAEL-PALESTINE CONFLICT?***

### **Male inhabitants of Israel, Gaza, and the West Bank (2005)**

	<b>Jews</b>	<b>Arabs</b>	
<b>Total</b>	<b>2.50 million</b> [Median Age: 30]	<b>2.54 million</b> [Median Age: In Israel 18; in Gaza/West.-B. 16]	
<b>0-14 years</b>	<b>0.64 million</b>	<b>1.10 million</b>	<b>[ca. 1:2]</b>
<b>15-29 years</b>	<b>0.61 million</b>	<b>0.69 million</b>	
<b>30-44 years</b>	<b>0.54 million</b>	<b>0.41 million</b>	
...			
<b>60 and older</b>	<b>0.32 million</b>	<b>0.11 million</b>	<b>[ca. 3:1]</b>

The intensification of the conflict is youth-bulge driven. Through UNRWA, the world community supports every child born in a refugee camp – be he a firstborn or a tenth sibling – as a refugee. Their demographic Goliath-position against the Israeli David in the age bracket 0 to 14 years, makes the Palestinians confident that the hours of “the Zionists” are counted. Had the United Kingdom (50 million in 1950) reproduced like Gaza (from 245.000 to 1.43 million between 1950 and 2006), its nearly 300 million inhabitants would share global rank 3 with the US.

A Western promise to continue the support of children already born coupled with a decision to cut Palestinian children born after 1990 from international welfare, and – simultaneously – to stop new Israeli settlements, should have been the first step of the Oslo process, begun in secrecy in 1990. Like Algeria or Tunisia, Gaza, in 2006, would have seen nearly all of its boys turning fifteen as only sons, and, therefore, with very little incentive to join a terror movement. Since the root of the conflict was not sufficiently analysed, unabated demographic armament has continued with 0.7 million additional boys (out of 1.1 million) with no position to look forward to. Heinsohn, London 20-11-06

**THE RISING, THE STABLE, AND THE FALLING FROM 1850 TO 2050.**  
**Absolute Size of Population in Selected Regions (million).**  
**Percentage Share of World Population in Brackets.**

	1850		1900		1950		2006		2050	
<b>Europe without Russia</b>	231	(20%)	330	(20.5%)	475	(19%)	592	(9 %)	555	(6%)
<b>Russia</b> (recent borders)	35	(3%)	71	(4.4%)	101	(4%)	142	(2.2%)	110	(1.1%)
<b>Japan</b>	27	(2.3%)	44	(2.7%)	83	(3.3%)	128	(2%)	100	(1 %)
<b>Germany</b>	35	(3%)	56	(3.5%)	68	(2.7%)	82	(1.3%)	69*	(0.7%)
<b>China</b>	430	(36.7%)	400	(25%)	557	(22%)	1311	(20%)	1437	(15.6%)
<b>India</b>	283	(24.2%)	271	(16.9%)	350	(13.9%)	1122	(17.1%)	1628	(17.6%)
<b>USA</b>	23	(2%)	76	(4.7%)	151	(6%)	300	(4.6 %)	420	(4.5%)
<b>Anglo-World</b> AUS/CDN/NZ/UK/US	46	(3.9%)	121	(7.5%)	230	(9.2%)	418	(6.4%)	555	(6%)
<b>World</b>	1171		1608		2510		6555		9240	

\* If 100.000 skilled immigrants arrive annually and 140.000 skilled Germans stop leaving annually.

G. Heinsohn/London/20-11-06

## GLOBAL DISTRIBUTION OF FIGHTING AGE MALES (15-29 YEARS) BETWEEN 1492 AND 2020

*The Terrorists' Alternative is neither Peace nor Retreat but Conquest or Defeat*

Out of 1.000 fighting age males (15-29 years) worldwide live - **all figures rounded** - in:

	<u>Europe</u>	<u>USAnada</u>	<u>AUS/NZ</u>	<u>Lat.-Am.</u>	<u>Asia</u>	<u>Africa</u>		<u>[All Muslims]</u>	<u>[UK alone]</u>
<b>1492</b> [World pop. 0,4 billion]	<b>110</b> <sub>[West-E.]</sub> "Christianists" <i>Secundones</i> in 16 <sup>th</sup> c. Spanish	?	?	?	<b>700</b>	<b>115</b>		?	<b>[5; E+W]</b> [youth bulge]
<b>1750</b> [World pop. 0,7 billion]	<b>192</b> ["White Imperialists"] "Christianists" [youth bulge]	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>130</b>		?	<b>[10; GB]</b> [youth bulge]
<b>1914</b> [World pop. 1,8 billion]	<b>275</b> ["White Imperialists": "Christianists" [youth bulge]	<b>73</b>	<b>5</b> <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">353</span>	<b>47</b> [youth bulge 1950–2000]	<b>525</b>	<b>75</b>		<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">95</span>	<b>[35; UK]</b> [youth bulge]
<b>2006</b> [World pop. 6,5 billion]	<b>89</b> ["Western Democracies": Only sons or children	<b>39</b>	<b>4</b> <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">132</span>	<b>90</b>	<b>618</b>	<b>160</b> [youth bulge]		<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">280</span> „Islamists“ [youth bulge]	<b>[8; UK]</b>
<b>2020<sup>a</sup></b> [World pop. 8 billion]	<b>71<sup>b</sup></b> ["Western Democracies": [without immigrants:	<b>44<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>5</b> <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">120</span> <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">90<sup>f</sup></span>	<b>87</b>	<b>611</b>	<b>182</b> [youth bulge]		<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">300<sup>d</sup></span> „Islamists“ [youth bulge]	<b>[5;<sup>e</sup> UK]</b>

<sup>a</sup> 2020-figures multiplied by one million give nearly exact number of males, e.s.g., 120 million warrior age Westerners versus 300 million warrior age Muslims. <sup>b</sup> More than 20 million will be mostly Muslim immigrants. Non-minority sons are, statistically, either only sons or even only children. <sup>c</sup> 14 million will be immigrants (up to 40% of whom will be Muslims). Non-minority sons are, statistically, only sons with a high rate of obesity. <sup>d</sup> Of these 300 million two thirds - like 1750 or 1914 in the Christian world – will be second or further sons (200 million). <sup>e</sup> 2 of them minorities. UK non-minority males will be only sons or only children. <sup>f</sup> Statistically only sons or children.

G. Heinsohn/London/20-11-06



## FUTURE'S FORTRESS: "SOFT POWER" OF A FRENCH-GERMAN ALLIANCE (F+D) OR THE ANGLO-WORLD (AW)

**Population (million)** G. Heinsohn/London/20-11-06

Year				Anglo-World	World
	Germany (G) Median Age: 43	France (F) Median Age: 39	USA (US) Median Age: 36	AUS+CDN+NZ+UK +US Median Age: 37-38	<b>Total</b> Median Age: 27
<b>1750</b>	20	24	2	10 <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">G+F:AW=~4:1</span>	<b>700</b>
<b>1800</b>	22	27	5 <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">G+F:US=10:1</span>	17	<b>906</b>
<b>1850</b>	35	36	23	46	<b>1170</b>
<b>1870</b>	41	37	40	71	<b>1380</b>
<b>1914</b>	67	40	100	156	<b>1730</b>
<b>1939</b>	70	40	130	197	<b>2300</b>
<b>2006</b>	82	61	300 <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">G+F:US=1:2</span>	418 <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">G+F:AW=1:3</span>	<b>6470</b>
<b><i>Boys under 15 years of age in 2005 (million)</i></b>					
	<i>6.1 [0.65% globally]</i>	<i>5.7 [0.6% globally]</i>	<i>31 [3.4% globally]</i>	<i>42 [4.6% globally]</i>	<i>[920]</i>
<b>2030</b>	76	63	364	494	<b>8206</b>
<b>2050</b>	67 [Muslim majority]	61 [Muslim majority]	420 <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">G+F:US=1:3</span>	555 <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">G+F:AW=1:4</span>	<b>9080</b>

**39 NATIONS WITH A MINIMUM OF 500.000 INHABITANTS IN 1950  
WHICH WILL INCREASE BY FACTORS 9 TO 26 UP TO 2050**

	Nation	Population (million)		Potential for civil war, genocide
		1950	2050	war etc. since 1950 (crosses   indicate intensity)
Factor 9:	Pakistan	39	> 350	+++
	Nigeria	30	> 280	+++
	Kenya	6	> 55	+
	Ghana	4.8	> 41	+, 50% of educated in exile
	Guatemala	3	> 27	+++
	Senegal	2.5	> 23	death toll: 1000s
	Burundi	2.4	> 21	+++
	Rwanda	2.1	> 19	+++
	Benin	2	> 18	—, highest emigration/Africa
	Honduras	1.4	> 13	1000s, emigration USAnada
	Paraguay	1.5	> 13	1000s, emigration USAnada
	Togo	1.3	> 12	—, AIDS high
Eritrea	1.1	> 10	+++	
Factor 10:	Ethiopia	19	> 190	+++
	Tanzania	7.8	> 83	1000s
	Iraq	5.2	> 54	+++
	Syria	3.5	> 36	++
	Chad	2.7	> 28	++
	Nicaragua	1.1	> 11	+++
	Libya	1	> 10	+, calming oil revenues
Factors 11: +12	Afghanistan	8	> 97	+++
	Burkina Faso	4	> 47	—, extreme AIDS-rate
	Madagascar	4.2	> 47	+
	Mali	3.5	> 42	+
	Malawi	2.9	> 31	+
	Ivory Coast	2.8	> 32	++
	Sambia	2.4	> 29	1000s
	Palestine	1	> 12	+
Factors 13: to 18	Congo, Dem.R.	12	> 204	+++
	Angola	4	> 53	+++
	Somalia	2.3	> 41	+++
	Liberia	0.8	> 14	+++
	Congo, Rep .	0.8	> 11	++
Factor 19: to 26	Yemen	4.3	> 102	++
	Uganda	5.2	> 102	+++
	Saudi-Arabia	3.2	> 60	+, calming oil revenues
	Niger	2.5	> 52	+, 100s
	Jordan	0.5	> 11.8	++
	Kuwait	0.15	> 4	—, calming oil revenues

Heinsohn/London/20-11-06

**2005: 64 AGING NATIONS IN NEED OF SKILLED IMMIGRATION WITH A TOTAL FERTILITY RATE  
(CHILDREN IN A WOMAN'S LIFETIME) BELOW NET REPRODUCTION OF 2.1**

**All developed nations in the list are active in mutual cannibalization for talent with the Anglo-World alone looking for 1.5 million annually (twice the number of Germany's newborn annually)**

	Hispanic (2.82)				
	Black (2.02)				
	Asian (1.90)				
	Whites (1.85)				
<b>USA</b>	<b>(2.1)</b>	Netherlands	(1.7)	Andorra	(1.3)
		<b>UK</b>	<b>(1.7)</b>	Armenia	(1.3)
Albania	(2.0)	Sweden	(1.7)	Bulgaria	(1.3)
Azerbaijan	(2.0)	Serbia-Monten.	(1.7)	Greece	(1.3)
Chile	(2.0)	Thailand	(1.7)	Germany	<b>(1.3)</b>
Costa Rica	(2.0)			Italy	(1.3)
Kazakhstan	(2.0)	Belgium	(1.6)	Japan	(1.3)
Sri Lanka	(2.0)	China	(1.6)	Croatia	(1.3)
		Luxemburg	(1.6)	Latvia	(1.3)
		Trinidad-Tobago	(1.6)	Lichtenstein	(1.3)
<b>Ireland</b>	<b>(1.9)</b>	Cyprus	(1.6)	Austria	(1.3)
Iceland	(1.9)			Rumänien	(1.3)
		<b>Canada</b>	<b>(1.5)</b>	Russia	(1.3)
France	(1.8)	Cuba	(1.5)	Spain	(1.3)
<b>Australia</b>	<b>(1.8)</b>	Macedonia	(1.5)	Hungary	(1.3)
Puerto Rico	(1.8)	Malta	(1.5)		
Barbados	(1.8)			Bosnia-Herz.	(1.2)
Denmark	(1.8)	Estonia	(1.4)	Lithuania	(1.2)
Finland	(1.8)	Georgia	(1.4)	Moldavia	(1.2)
<b>New Zealand</b>	<b>(1.8)</b>	Portugal	(1.4)	Poland	(1.2)
Norway	(1.8)	Switzerland	(1.4)	San Marino	(1.2)
				Slovakia	(1.2)
				Slovenia	(1.2)
				South-	(1.2)
				Taiwan	(1.2)
				<b>Czchechia</b>	(1.2)
				Ukraine	(1.2)
				Belarus	(1.2)
				<b>Singapore</b>	<b>(1.1)</b>
				Hong Kong	(1.0)
				Macao	(0.8)

. Heinsohn/London/20-11-06

## YOUTH BULGE PARADOXES

*You are damned if you do, damned if you don't, and damned if you have to.*

- (i) The right to engage in demographic armament – i.e. raising seven or more children per family, thereby creating a national youth bulge that in turn creates conditions that lead to violence and aggression – is protected under international law. Intervening against demographic armament constitutes the international crime of genocide.\*
  
- (ii) If youth bulge nations begin annihilating their minorities, failure to render assistance to the victims will make you an accomplice to genocide.\*\*
  
- (iii) If youth bulge nations threaten your country, you must let them strike the first blow before international law will permit you to take military action against the aggressor. In any case you will not be allowed to take measures against the youth bulge that caused the aggression, or against any youth bulges that may occur in the future.

---

\* *Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide* [General Assembly resolution 260 A (III) of 9 December 1948]. “Article 2 (d): In the present Convention, genocide means any of the following acts committed with intent to destroy, in whole or in part, a national, ethnical, racial or religious group, as such: **Imposing measures intended to prevent births within the group.**”

\*\* “Article 1: The Contracting Parties confirm that genocide, whether committed in time of peace or in time of war, is a crime under international law which they undertake **to prevent** and to punish.”

<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>STRATEGY to 2020</u></b> <b>First Principle</b></p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>DO NOT INTERVENE WHILST A YOUTH BULGE RUNS ITS COURSE!</b></p> <p>-----</p> <p>Stay away when a nation’s superfluous young men split into warring parties and annihilate each other until a balance is reached between ambitions and positions. Western powers have to preserve their armed forces – which are based on limited numbers of young men, many of whom are only sons – for self defence if military aggression is directed against their aging populations.</p> <p>Western intervention in these civil conflicts will involve the West in the painful and horrible culling of superfluous young males (Bouthoul calls it “deferred infanticide”) that is a youth bulge nation’s own responsibility. Though such interventions will not really cause “more hatred against the West,” they will provide an outlet for the rage of angry young males, a pretext that distracts them from waging war against each other.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>G. HEINSOHN/LONDON/20-11-06</b> <b>Second Principle</b></p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>CUT ALL FOREIGN AID FOR DEMOGRAPHIC ARMAMENT!</b></p> <p>-----</p> <p>Western humanitarian assistance, when faced with youth bulge civil wars and/or genocides (Afghanistan, Iraq, Pakistan, Sudan, Sub-Saharan Africa etc.), must focus on demographic disarmament. Algeria, Lebanon, Chile etc. have put their bloodbaths behind them because their total fertility rates fell from seven children in a woman’s lifetime to two or less today. However, a boy born in Palestine – a first or a tenth child – automatically receives U.N. refugee status with entitlements to food, housing, schooling and medicine (from UN-RWA). Therefore, the people of Gaza – who are still being seduced into having six or seven children per woman by Western aid money given directly to the “innocent” wives of Hamas’ genocide prone males – can provide the manpower for wars and internecine battles for decades to come.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Third Principle</b></p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>TURN A YOUTH BULGE DRIVEN WAR BACK INTO A CIVIL WAR!</b></p> <p>-----</p> <p>Countries that defeat youth bulge nations with leaders who send their angry men abroad to build empires – Frederick II in Prussia; Napoleon in France; Saddam in Iraq; Osama for a Caliphate etc. – must assure that subsequent generations of superfluous males consume themselves within their own borders. Today, Western support for war is restricted to fighting aggressors. Most Western sons who are killed by a third or fourth son from a youth bulge nation are their parents’ only boy. Most families shrink back from making such a sacrifice. Therefore, occupations by Western nations must be brief, with military equipment left to the preferred side. An example is France, which helped Algeria’s secular leaders crush an Islamist uprising. However, if nuclear arms exist in a youth bulge nation with empire ambitions, a preemptive strike is imperative.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Fourth Principle</b></p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>LEAVE NATION BUILDING TO THE END OF A YOUTH BULGE!</b></p> <p>-----</p> <p>Nation building and democratization follow demographic disarmament – they do not precede it. “Round tables” are fine for demographically imploding nations such as East-Germany, Ukraine or Georgia, where everyone taking a seat at the table will later find a position in his nation’s administration. In youth bulge countries, however, five young males will start fighting for one seat in the conference hall.</p> <p>When youth bulges doubled Latin America’s share of world population (from 4.2% in 1914 to 8.4% in 1990; i.e. from 75 to 440 million) nearly every state failed. Democracy returned with little external help only when total fertility fell from seven to three or two.</p>
---	---	---	---